## Resource Pack 27 - Logic Models in Evaluation


<u>Logic models</u> can be used as a planning tool to provide narrative about the purpose of a project/programme or intervention. It sets out the components and sequences of activities needed to achieve the project's goal/aim. It helps identify evaluation priorities and questions to be answered by the evaluation.

Component	Considerations	Potential Measures	
Input	What resources are needed or used to develop/ deliver the project? (staff time, partners, equipment, financial, physical)	Cost of project/ intervention	
Output (what)	Activities e.g. meetings, training, services delivered during the intervention	Methods used, demographic characteristics of population reached, participant satisfaction	
Output (who)	Who engaged with the project/intervention (Participants)	Number and reach (people, staff, organisations, decision-makers, participants)	
Outcomes (short term)	What difference did the project make in the short term	Benefits to participants/ those delivering intervention, knowledge, skills, confidence, motivation, aspirations	
Outcomes (medium term)	What difference did the project make in the medium term	Changes to practice and policies	
Outcomes (long term impact)	What is the potential long term impact of the project	Health, social, economic and organisational impacts	

For each component of the logic model, the following could be considered:

When determining evaluation measures, consideration should also be given to any external influential factors and assumptions held that potentially impact on the project:

Assumptions	Any assumptions held about the impact or effect of the intervention
External Factors / influences	Workforce capacity, stakeholders buy in, participant involvement, etc.



Logic Model						
Project Name	Project Name					
Project overview	Project overview (including aim):					
Local context:						
Inputs	Outputs		Outcomes	Outcomes	Outcomes	
What we invest	Intervention What we do	Participants Who we will reach	(short term)	(medium term)	(long term)	
Assumptions		External Factors / Influences				

Evaluation Plan					
What do we want to know? (Evaluation	How will we know it? (Indicator)	How to collect information about the indicator?	When and where will info be collected?	Who will do this? (Responsibility)	
Question)		(Data source/method)	(Timeframe)		

1		

Evaluation Types	When to use	What it shows	Why it is useful
Formative evaluation Evaluability Assessment Needs Assessment	During the development of a new programme/ project When an existing programme is being modified or is being used in a new setting or with a new population	Whether the proposed programme elements are likely to be needed, understood and accepted by the population you want to reach The extent to which an evaluation is possible, based on the goals and objectives	It allows for modifications to be made to the plan before full implementation begins Maximises the likelihood that the programme will succeed
Process Evaluation Programme Monitoring	As soon as programme implementation begins During operation of an existing programme	How well the programme is working. The extent to which the programme is being implemented as designed Whether the programme is accessible and acceptable to its target population	Provides an early warning for any problem that may occur Allows programmes to monitor how well their programme plans and activities are working
Outcome Evaluation Objectives-based evaluation	• After the programme has made contact with at least one person or group in the target population	• The degree to which the programme is having an effect on the target population's behaviour	• Tells whether the programme is being effective in meeting its objectives

## **Types of Evaluation**

Economic Evaluation:	1. At the beginning of a	3. What resources are	4. Provides
Cost Analysis, Cost-	programme	being used in a	programme
Cost Anarysis, Cost-	programme	being used in a	programme
effectiveness Evaluation,	2. During the operation	programme and their	managers and
Cost- Benefit Analysis;	of an	costs (direct and	funders a way to
Cost- Utility Analysis	existing programme	indirect) compared to	assess cost relative
		outcomes	to effects "How
			much bang for your
			buck"
Impact Evaluation	5. During the operation	7. The degree to which	8. Provides evidence
L L	of an existing	the programme meets	for use in policy and
	programme at	its ultimate goal	funding decisions
	appropriate intervals		
	6. At the end of a		
	programme		